**Bomere Heath CE Primary School**

**3-year long-term pupil premium strategy**

**September 2019-August 2022**

# 2nd year evaluation (Sept 2021) is below – 3rd year transferred onto new DFE format – see new strategy.

Due to the small numbers to whom this is applicable, and in order to safeguard confidentiality for individual pupils, some of the information in our strategy is generic.

**Our philosophy**

Pupil Premium and Pupil Premium plus is an allocation of funding provided to schools to support children who may be vulnerable to underachievement.

The Pupil Premium Grant (PPG) includes pupils on the annual census known to have been eligible for free school meals in any of the previous six years, as well as those eligible at the time of the census. It also includes pupils who have been eligible for the Service child premium, as well as any pupils who are or were previously ‘Looked After Children’

Overcoming barriers to learning is at the heart of our PPG use. We understand that needs and costs will differ depending on the barriers to learning being addressed. As such, we do not automatically allocate personal budgets per pupil in receipt of the PPG. As with all children at Bomere Heath School, to ensure maximum impact, the needs of children entitled to the Pupil Premium are clearly identified, steps are taken to meet their individual needs and their progress is closely monitored, by teachers on a daily basis and by the senior management team termly, throughout their time with our school. Where small group / 1:1 support is felt to be most beneficial Pupil Premium may be used to support this provision and budgets are allocated accordingly.

We believe in maximising the use of the pupil premium grant (PPG) by utilising a long-term strategy aligned to the School Improvement Plan. This enables us to implement a blend of short, medium and long-term interventions, and align pupil premium use with wider school improvements and improving readiness to learn. It also means that we utilised funding over the anticipated three year strategy.

**Principles**

**Teaching and learning at Bomere Heath School is designed to meet the individual needs of all children.**

·         We ensure that appropriate provision is made for children who belong to vulnerable groups and that socially disadvantaged children have

 their needs adequately assessed and met.

·         We recognise that not all children who receive free school meals will be socially disadvantaged and we also recognise that not all children

 that are disadvantaged have free school meals.

·         We will allocate Pupil Premium funding after a needs analysis to identify priority groups and individuals.

**Bomere Heath’s Rationale For Provision**

The chosen provisions have been made due to the following rationale;

* Our school has also used its own internal analysis and research to develop effective use of the funds
* Early Help guidance and support - Shropshire Council
* Utilising local cluster developments and sharing of good practise
* Following reference to outstanding schools and their proven outcomes in utilising funds
* Use of the EEF toolkit for evidence based practise

**Our Priorities**

Setting priorities is key to maximising the use of the PPG. Our priorities are as follows:

* Ensuring class sizes and age groups mixes are manageable and enable high quality learning experiences
* Closing the attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers
* Providing targeted academic support for pupils who are not making the expected progress
* Addressing non-academic barriers to attainment such as mental health and wellbeing
* Ensuring that the PPG reaches the pupils who need it most

Barriers To Future Attainment

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Academic barriers to attainment** | **Non-academic barriers to attainment** |
| Low levels of literacy and maths | Poor attendance |
| Lack of real life experiences to apply to writing and maths skills | Poor home life leading to lack of self-esteem and lack of focus in lessons |
| SEND needs affecting progress | Need for support at Early Help level |
| Poor language and communication skills | Lack of routine leading to tiredness in school and poor attention/behaviour |
| Lack of school readiness | Behaviour impacting on focus during lessons, needing time out to calm down and missing parts of lessons |
|  | Child Protection Issues causing low self-esteem, tiredness and poor focus in lessons |
|  | Self Esteem – nurture and support. |
|  | Arriving at school hungry and not ready to learn |
|  | Lack of focus and confidence due to poor mental health and wellbeing |
|  | Attachment issues requiring pastoral care and support  |

**Our Implementation Process**

We believe in selecting a small number of priorities and giving them the best chance of success. We also believe in evidence-based interventions and learning from our experiences, which is why we utilise annual light-touch reviews to ensure our approach is effective and we can cease or amend interventions that are not having the intended impact.

We will:

**Explore**

* Identify a key priority that we can address
* Systematically explore appropriate programmes and practices
* Examine the fit and feasibility with the school

**Prepare**

* Develop a clear, logical and well-specified plan
* Assess the readiness of the school to deliver the plan
* Make practical preparations

**Deliver**

* Support staff and solve any problems using a flexible leadership approach
* Reinforce initial training with follow-on support
* Drive faithful adoption and intelligent adaption

**Sustain**

* Plan for sustaining and scaling the intervention from the outset
* Continually acknowledge, support and reward good implementation practices
* Treat scale-up as a new implementation process

Our Tiered Approach

To prioritise spending, we have adopted a tiered approach to define our priorities and ensure balance. Our tiered approach comprises three categories:

1. Teaching
2. Targeted academic support
3. Wider strategies

Within each category, we have chosen three interventions. This focussed approach ensures the best chance of success for each intervention.

**Quality of teaching**

1. Employing staff to allow smaller, more focused teaching groups
2. Professional development: Individual coaching sessions to support teachers and teaching assistants, with a particular emphasis on core skills
3. Support for early career teachers: Release time for subject co-ordinators to work with early career teachers in supporting high quality delivery of the curriculum

**Targeted academic support**

1. Structured interventions: Introducing vocabulary interventions for pupils with poor oral language and communication skills
2. Small group tuition: Introducing targeted English and maths teaching for pupils who are below age-related expectations
3. Supporting pupils to access wider curriculum areas – raising pupil aspirations through engagement/aspiration programmes such as funded visits and musical instrument tuition

**Wider strategies**

1. Pastoral support and intervention; staff training and release for Early Help work
2. Use of a breakfast club and after school care club to provide pupils with a nutritious breakfast before school as well as developing social interactions and experiences
3. Targeted pastoral work with pupils in relation to health and emotional well being

Full planning details for interventions are outlined in the ‘[Intervention planning in full’](#_Intervention_planning_in) section.

Our review process

Annually reviewing a one-year pupil premium plan and creating a new plan each year is time-costly and ineffective. This three-year approach allows us to dedicate more time up-front and introduce light-touch reviews annually.

During a light-touch review, we will review the success of each intervention, based on evidence, and determine the most effective approach moving forwards – adapting, expanding or ceasing the intervention as required.

The progress of pupils in receipt of the PPG is regularly discussed with class teachers.

Once the three-year term has been completed, a new three-year strategy will be created in light of the lessons learned during the execution of the previous strategy, and with regard to any new guidance and evidence of best practice that becomes available. The Executive Headteacheris responsible for ensuring a pupil premium strategy is always in effect.

Accountability

Link governors monitor the progress of pupil premium and disadvantaged pupils and review the strategy with the Executive Headteacher annually A report is also provided for the full governing body annually.

Ofsted inspections will report on the attainment and progress of disadvantaged pupils in receipt of the PPG.

The school is held to account for the spending of the PPG through the focus in Ofsted inspections on the progress and attainment of the wider pupil premium eligible cohort; however, they will not look for evidence of the grant’s impact on individual pupils, or on precise interventions.

We publish our strategy for using the pupil premium on the school website.

Our schools publishes a link to the [school and college performance tables](https://www.compare-school-performance.service.gov.uk/) and the schools’ performance tables page on the school website.

Our Funding

Our funding is supplemented from the school budget in order to provide the support detailed below.

|  |
| --- |
| Funding summary: Year 1 |
| Total number of pupils | 131 | PPG received per pupil | £1320 Ever 6 £2300 Post LAC | Indicative PPG as advised in School Budget Statement | £ 16,820 |
| Number of pupils eligible for PPG | 11 Ever 6 + 1 Post LAC | Actual PPG budget | £ |
| Funding estimate: Year 2 |
| Estimated pupil numbers | 135 |
| Estimated number of pupils eligible for PPG | 9 Ever 6 + 3 post LAC |
| Estimated funding | £19,140 |
| Funding estimate: Year 3 |
| Estimated pupil numbers | 135 |
| Estimated number of pupils eligible for PPG | 7 Ever 6 + 3 post LAC |
| Estimated funding | £16,450 |

# Intervention planning in full

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intervention: | Employ staff to allow smaller, more focused teaching groups  |
| Category: | Quality of teaching |
| Intended outcomes: | Ensure high quality learning experiences engage and motivate pupils | Success criteria: | The attainment gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers will be closing |
| Staff lead: | Deputy Head – SR |
| Implementation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| How we will implement this intervention in year 1:Employ part time staff (EQ as support for class teacher) | How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):This strategy may not continue depending on funding allocations elsewhere and impact.If impact and evidence in terms of pupil need in the relevant year groups is still in place then consider continuation. | How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):Review in line with Year 1 and 2 and consider provision needs of individual pupils. |
| Light-touch review notes | Annual review notes:**Staff member appointed and the implementation worked well initially. COVID lockdown and keyworker groupings followed by work in bubbles prevented some of this work continuing however, EQ supported massively to ensure PPM pupils were supported through lockdown and on returning to school in keyworker/vulnerable groups. She also provided telephone support for those who were working from home. Positive impact – children’s mental health and wellbeing was supported and engagement was high.** | Annual review notes:Funding continued and therefore group works continued both online through lockdown and remote learning as well as back in school.Smaller class groups allowed significant improvements to be seen in progress for our disadvantaged pupils – data not shared due to low numbers. | Final review notes: |
| Light-touch review overall assessment | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations X
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations X
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 |
| Anticipated expenditure | Year 1 | £8000 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase XDecrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 |
| Year 2 | £10,000 | Year 3 | £8000 |
| Total anticipated expenditure: | £24,000 |
| Actual expenditure | Year 1 | £8,000 (plus school budget top up) | Year 2 | £15,000 (topped up by school) | Year 3 | £ |
| Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased XDecreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 | Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased 🞏Decreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 |
| Total actual expenditure: | £23,000 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intervention: | Professional development: Coaching sessions to support teachers and teaching assistants, with a particular emphasis on core skills |
| Category: | Quality of teaching |
| Intended outcomes: | Staff to have specialised skills in maths and EnglishPupils attainment to increase and be in line with ARE | Success criteria: | Pupil attainment to increase and close the gap between disadvantaged pupils and their peers |
| Staff lead: | JF – Maths Lead SH – English Lead |
| Implementation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| How we will implement this intervention in year 1:Staff to attend maths hub groups for 2nd year mastery courseStaff meetings to be utilised to disseminate key skills to other staff – English and MathsTraining and CPD to be provided by subject leads where appropriate to individual staff – English and MathsStart further staff member on year one of mastery course - maths | How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):Further maths hub work to broaden and deepen skills and understandingConsider external CPD or continue internalRelease staff for cascading good practiseStart a further member of staff on year one of mastery course - maths | How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):Further maths hub work to broaden and deepen skills and understandingConsider external CPD or continue internalRelease staff for cascading good practiseStart a further member of staff on year one of mastery course - maths |
| Light-touch review notes | Annual review notes:**Most of these sessions had to switch online following COVID impact.****Staff were keen to continue to implement, however, and positive impact is seen in outcomes for pupils and engagement with maths. PD day sessions on English were well received and impact is ongoing.** | Annual review notes:Cascade and CPD continued despite lockdown and remote learning and CEV staff being at home. Positive impact in pupil outcomes for maths and in year progress. | Final review notes: |
| Light-touch review overall assessment | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected X
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations X
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 |
| Anticipated expenditure | Year 1 | £2,000 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same X | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 |
| Year 2 | £2,000 | Year 3 | £2,000 |
| Total anticipated expenditure: | £6,000 |
| Actual expenditure | Year 1 | £2,000 | Year 2 | £5,000 | Year 3 | £ |
| Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased XDecreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 | Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased 🞏Decreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 |
| Total actual expenditure: | £7,000 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intervention: | Support for early career teachers: Release time for subject co-ordinators to work with early career teachers in supporting high quality delivery of the curriculum |
| Category: | Quality of teaching |
| Intended outcomes: | Staff to be confident in the mastery methods | Success criteria: | Pupil outcomes to be in line with or above AREStaff to evidence skills in mastery methods – teaching to be good/outstanding consistently |
| Staff lead: | SH – EnglishJF - Maths |
| Implementation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| How we will implement this intervention in year 1:Release to be arranged for subject co-ordinators to enable CPD to be shared with relevant staffMonitoring to be via SDPOpportunities to observe other teachers to be arranged – release time to be covered | How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):Next steps for relevant staff to be considered and opportunities to share good practise across the federation to be utilisedUpdate following year 1 review;Further focus on catch-up following lockdown (See catch up premium spend) and changes to performance management systems to allow more focus on sharing key skills between staff. Support to less confident staff to be given. | How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):Consolidate core CPD and follow up with specific courses / CPD which will further enhance the learning experience for pupils |
| Light-touch review notes | Annual review notes:**Co-ordinators and staff worked well on this through Autumn and Spring term until COVID interrupted – observations of other teachers were put on hold. However, impact was strong through the year re sharing and guiding staff.** | Annual review notes:Release was in place and support across the federation enabled good progress for pupils both through remote learning and in school. Impact on quality of teaching has been good. | Final review notes: |
| Light-touch review overall assessment | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected X
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations x
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 |
| Anticipated expenditure | Year 1 | £2,000 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same X | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 |
| Year 2 | £2,000 | Year 3 | £2,000 |
| Total anticipated expenditure: | £6,000 |
| Actual expenditure | Year 1 | £2,000 | Year 2 | £5,000 | Year 3 | £ |
| Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased xDecreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 | Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased 🞏Decreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 |
| Total actual expenditure: | £7,000 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intervention: | Structured interventions: Introducing vocabulary interventions for pupils with poor oral language and communication skills |
| Category: | Targeted academic support |
| Intended outcomes: | Pupils will be able to access the English curriculum within ARE | Success criteria: | Pupil outcomes will evidence the gap closing between disadvantaged pupils and their peers |
| Staff lead: | K Gregory/S Roberts |
| Implementation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| How we will implement this intervention in year 1:Staffing ratios in EYFS and Lower KS1 to be high to enable focused work to be undertaken with individuals and small groups, including phonics, Toe by Toe and social activities | How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):Work to be developed into the nurseryWork to be considered with parents from nursery upwardsMonitoring of standards from all feeder pre-schools and nurseries to be used to support guidance literature etc for parents to be produced and parent meetings to be held | How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):Parent workshops to be heldSpecialist workshops to be considered and run from school hall – open to all parents including those prior to starting in nursery/school |
| Light-touch review notes | Annual review notes:**Implemeted well – good progress despite lockdown. Some catch up will be needed for individuals in Autumn 2020.** | Annual review notes:Ability to visit feeder nurseries impacted by covid restrictions however, staff in school provided strong links with extra contacts with parents via TEAMS and release enabled core staff to spend time online with new pupils enabling a positive start to the new term. Interventions in school during the year has been excellent and supplemented well through both EYFS and KS1 with high quality CPD ensuring pupils have every opportunity to make good progress. | Final review notes: |
| Light-touch review overall assessment | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected X
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations x
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 |
| Anticipated expenditure | Year 1 | £500 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase XDecrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 |
| Year 2 | £1000 | Year 3 | £600 |
| Total anticipated expenditure: | £1,500 |
| Actual expenditure | Year 1 | £500 plus supplemented by school budget £1500 | Year 2 | £4,000 | Year 3 | £ |
| Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased xDecreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 | Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased 🞏Decreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 |
| Total actual expenditure: | £4,500 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intervention: | Small group tuition: Introducing targeted English and maths teaching for pupils who are below age-related expectations |
| Category: | Targeted academic support |
| Intended outcomes: | The gap will close between disadvantaged pupils and their peers | Success criteria: | Attainment outcomes for disadvantaged pupils will be in line with or above their peers nationally |
| Staff lead: | Class teachers |
| Implementation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| How we will implement this intervention in year 1:Selected pupils will be involved in targeted tuition for English and MathsClose monitoring - adaptations will be implemented where necessary | How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):Selected pupils will be involved in targeted tuition for English and MathsClose monitoring - adaptations will be implemented where necessary | How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):Selected pupils will be involved in targeted tuition for English and MathsClose monitoring - adaptations will be implemented where necessary |
| Light-touch review notes | Annual review notes:**Good start to the year until COVID changes affected this. Support was given where possible through lockdown – a number of PPM children were in throughout lockdown and whole school opened to those who wanted to attend in June. Extra staff needed to sustain learning through lockdown and Summer term.****Catch up groups will be needed in Autumn term for PPM and other pupils who have fallen behind (see separate Catch- up premium spend sheet.** | Annual review notes:Pupil intervention and catch up groups began swiftly on return from lockdown.In line with EEF recommendations, programme of improvement were supported by staff who are highly trained. They had regular release/contact time with pupils.Progress for the pupils in the groups was accelerated. | Final review notes: |
| Light-touch review overall assessment | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected X
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations x
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 |
| Anticipated expenditure | Year 1 | £1,000 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same X | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 |
| Year 2 | £1,000 | Year 3 | £1,000 |
| Total anticipated expenditure: | £3,000 |
| Actual expenditure | Year 1 | £1,000 | Year 2 | £4,000 | Year 3 | £ |
| Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased xDecreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 | Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased 🞏Decreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 |
| Total actual expenditure: | £5,000 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intervention: | Supporting pupils to access wider curriculum areas – raising pupil aspirations through engagement/aspiration programmes such as funded visits and musical instrument tuition |
| Category: | Targeted academic support |
| Intended outcomes: | Pupils self-esteem and confidence will improveAttitudes towards school and learning will be positive | Success criteria: | Pupils will evidence self-confidence – parents/teachers and pupils will report improvementsAttitudes to learning will be improved as reported by staff, parents and pupils |
| Staff lead: | All teachers |
| Implementation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| How we will implement this intervention in year 1:Funding towards individual tuition to be utilised for pupils, where identified as a need/skillPupils to be supported to attend extra -curricular clubs etc through supplemented fundingVisits which are not curriculum linked will be considered for funding support for disadvantaged pupils | How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):Continue with year 1 implementations – ongoing reviews of effectiveness and flexibility to adapt as required | How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):Continue with year 3 implementations – ongoing reviews of effectiveness and flexibility to adapt as required |
| Light-touch review notes | Annual review notes:**Increased music provision and sporting in Autumn and early Spring terms was affected when lockdown occurred although some individual music lessons moved online which allowed access for some pupils.** | Annual review notes:Individual and group tuition continued to be offered with some pupils accessing longer sessions as the year developed. Music provision to be increased next year across the school.Confidence for pupils accessing this has improved with self-esteem growing and positivity also feeding into the classroom core areas too. | Final review notes: |
| Light-touch review overall assessment | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations X
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations x
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 |
| Anticipated expenditure | Year 1 | £800 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same X | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 |
| Year 2 | £800 | Year 3 | £800 |
| Total anticipated expenditure: | £2,400 |
| Actual expenditure | Year 1 | £800 | Year 2 | £1,500 | Year 3 | £ |
| Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased xDecreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 | Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased 🞏Decreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 |
| Total actual expenditure: | £2,300 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intervention: | Pastoral support and intervention; staff training and release for Early Help work ELSA training and support provision |
| Category: | Wider strategies |
| Intended outcomes: | Self-esteem and focus during lessons will improve | Success criteria: | Improved self-esteem will be evident in individual pupilsAttainment and progress will improve with outcomes closer to national ARE |
| Staff lead: | Mental health leads – LV, SF and GMSH - ELSA |
| Implementation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| How we will implement this intervention in year 1:2 staff members to be trained in Early Help /ELSA support (adding to the existing provision)Release of mental health trained staff to support implementation and further work on action planWork with pupils identified as requiring pastoral support and interventions1:1 and small group work to be undertaken by mental health lead with identified pupils | How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):Release of mental health trained staff to support implementation and further work on action planWork with pupils identified as requiring pastoral support and interventions1:1 and small group work to be undertaken by mental health lead with identified pupils | How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):Release of mental health trained staff to support implementation and further work on action planWork with pupils identified as requiring pastoral support and interventions1:1 and small group work to be undertaken by mental health lead with identified pupils |
| Light-touch review notes | Annual review notes:**Training completed and sessions in place. Worked particularly well through the issues in lockdown and COVID anxieties. Need more staff trained!****Waiting list for pupils to have the sessions.****Positive impact noted in reviews for pupils.****Ongoing costs of supervision for staff involved** | Annual review notes:Sessions continued both online through lockdown and in school. Supervision for the sessions also continues which ensure high quality support has positive outcomes for pupils.Reviews for pupils indicate positive impact. | Final review notes: |
| Light-touch review overall assessment | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations X
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations x
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 |
| Anticipated expenditure | Year 1 | £2000 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase XDecrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 |
| Year 2 | £3,000 | Year 3 | £1500 |
| Total anticipated expenditure: | £5,500 |
| Actual expenditure | Year 1 | £2,500 (supplemented by school budget) | Year 2 | £3,000 | Year 3 | £ |
| Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased xDecreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 | Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased 🞏Decreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 |
| Total actual expenditure: | £5,500 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intervention: | Use of a breakfast club and after school care club to provide pupils with a nutritious breakfast before school as well as developing social interactions and experiences |
| Category: | Wider strategies |
| Intended outcomes: | Food and social interactions to be provided for pupils at a reduced rate/free depending on needPupils will be ‘ready to learn’ | Success criteria: | Pupils will be ready to learn as reported by class teacherBarriers to learning will be reduced |
| Staff lead: | Headteacher |
| Implementation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| How we will implement this intervention in year 1:Breakfast club to be open to allConsideration to be given to those who may benefit from attending with specific invitation given where required | How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):Continue from year 1 – review impact and sustainability | How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):Continue from year 1 – review impact and sustainability  |
| Light-touch review notes | Annual review notes:**This provision sustained through lockdown to those who needed it – will need supplementing into next year as income dropped significantly** | Annual review notes:**This provision sustained through lockdown to those who needed it – will need supplementing into next year as income dropped significantly****Some pupils also accessed funding to supplement the food vouchers when they were not yet accepted onto the scheme.****Pupils are able to access schooling without being hungry.****For some pupils it allowed a settlng in time prior to joining the class and their peers which had a positive impact on their ability to engage with learning and improved behaviours.** | Final review notes: |
| Light-touch review overall assessment | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected X
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations X
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 |
| Anticipated expenditure | Year 1 | £1,465 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase XDecrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 |
| Year 2 | £1,600 | Year 3 | £1,465 |
| Total anticipated expenditure: | £4,395 |
| Actual expenditure | Year 1 | £1,465 | Year 2 | £2,000 | Year 3 | £ |
| Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased xDecreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 | Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased 🞏Decreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 |
| Total actual expenditure: | £3,465 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intervention: | Targeted pastoral work with pupils in relation to health and emotional well being |
| Category: | Wider strategies |
| Intended outcomes: | Pupils self-esteem will improvePupils focus in lessons will improve | Success criteria: | Teachers will report improvements in focus during lessonsOutcomes will be in line with ARE for targeted pupilsPupils will report positively about themselves |
| Staff lead: | SF/GM/SH |
| Implementation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |
| How we will implement this intervention in year 1:SF/GM to work with staff to RAG rate pupils emotional health and wellbeingPupils identified as red will be targeted for support and interventionPupils identified as yellow will be monitored and intervention/pastoral support offered in timely mannerPupils to have specific lessons on mental health and wellbeing in order to support identification and self-help strategiesAdult to be available on the yard in a morning to provide a link between parents and class teacher – enabling those who are reluctant to engage as well as those who may need to feedback important information to staff | How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):SF/GM to work with staff to RAG rate pupils emotional health and wellbeingPupils identified as red will be targeted for support and interventionPupils identified as yellow will be monitored and intervention/pastoral support offered in timely mannerPupils to have specific lessons on mental health and wellbeing in order to support identification and self-help strategiesGM/SH to work with staff to RAG rate pupils emotional health and wellbeingPupils identified as red will be targeted for support and interventionPupils identified as yellow will be monitored and intervention/pastoral support offered in timely mannerPupils to have specific lessons on mental health and wellbeing in order to support identification and self-help strategies | How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):GM/SH to work with staff to RAG rate pupils emotional health and wellbeingPupils identified as red will be targeted for support and interventionPupils identified as yellow will be monitored and intervention/pastoral support offered in timely mannerPupils to have specific lessons on mental health and wellbeing in order to support identification and self-help strategiesGM/SH to work with staff to RAG rate pupils emotional health and wellbeingPupils identified as red will be targeted for support and interventionPupils identified as yellow will be monitored and intervention/pastoral support offered in timely mannerPupils to have specific lessons on mental health and wellbeing in order to support identification and self-help strategies |
| Light-touch review notes | Annual review notes:**This became significantly important with COVID and lockdown. Phone calls and contact developed quickly and support was in place immediately. Throughout the year – impact was positive, and this was sustained throughout the pandemic lockdown.** | Annual review notes:GM not available for a portion of the Summer term.Pastoral care and mental health continued to have a high priority with courses and CPD for staff through lockdown and two high quality programmes of support for pupils to engage with on their return from lockdowns. Impact was a positive return for the majority of pupils and support for those with worries and anxieties. Behaviour and focus in lessons has improved | Final review notes: |
| Light-touch review overall assessment | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations X
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations X
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 | The intervention is performing:* Far above expectations 🞏
* Above expectations 🞏
* As expected 🞏
* Below expectations 🞏
* Far below expectations 🞏
 |
| Anticipated expenditure | Year 1 | £1,000 | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same X | Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increase 🞏Decrease 🞏Remain the same 🞏 |
| Year 2 | £1,000 | Year 3 | £1,000 |
| Total anticipated expenditure: | £3,000 |
| Actual expenditure | Year 1 | £1,000 | Year 2 | £2,000 | Year 3 | £ |
| Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased xDecreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 | Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same? | Increased 🞏Decreased 🞏Remained the same 🞏 |
| Total actual expenditure: | £3,000 |